Docket No.: 2360-0419PUS1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Raymond WU

Application No.: Not Yet Assigned

Confirmation No.: N/A

Filed: September 7, 2004

Art Unit: N/A

For: RADIO RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN A RADIO COMMUNICATION NETWORK

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The PTO is requested to use the amended sheets/claims attached hereto (which correspond to Article 19 amendments or to claims attached to the International Preliminary Examination Report (Article 34) during prosecution of the above-identified national phase PCT application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: September 7, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

CG/clb

Charles Gorenstein

Registration No.: 29,271

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)

TENT COOPERATION TREE Y SEP 2004 Programmen 02. Juli 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

10/506783

Keller & Partner AG

Roshardt, Werner A. Keller & Partner Patentanwälte AG Schmiedenplatz 5/Postfach CH - 3000 Bern 7 SUISSE

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

30.06.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

RS/ni-15250

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/CH 02/00148

International filing date (day/month/year)

12.03.2002

12.03.2002

Applicant

ASCOM AG et al

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Benigar, M

Tel. +49 89 2399-2996



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

International application No.		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
		International filing date (day/month/year) 12.03.2002	Priority date (day/month/year) 12.03.2002			
Internationa H04Q7/3		or both national classification and IPC				
Applicant ASCOM	AG et al					
1. This Auth	international preliminary e nority and is transmitted to	xamination report has been prepared by th the applicant according to Article 36.	is International Preliminary Examining			
2. This	REPORT consists of a tot	al of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.	·			
\boxtimes	been amended and are the		scription, claims and/or drawings which have ining rectifications made before this Authority under the PCT).			
The	se annexes consist of a tot	al of 4 sheets.				
	•					
3. This	report contains indications	s relating to the following items:				
3. This		s relating to the following items:				
1	Basis of the opinion					
† 11	☑ Basis of the opinior☐ Priority		sten and industrial applicability			
1 11 111	☑ Basis of the opinion☑ Priority☑ Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability			
1 11	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☑ Priority ☑ Non-establishment ☑ Lack of unity of inve ☑ Reasoned statement 	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention	step and industrial applicability			
 V	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☑ Priority ☑ Non-establishment ☑ Lack of unity of inve ☑ Reasoned statement 	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nove nations supporting such statement				
I II IV V	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☑ Priority ☑ Non-establishment ☑ Lack of unity of inversion ☒ Reasoned statement ☐ Certain documents 	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nove nations supporting such statement				
 V 	 □ Basis of the opinion □ Priority □ Non-establishment □ Lack of unity of inversions □ Reasoned statement □ Certain documents □ Certain defects in the 	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nove nations supporting such statement cited				
 I IV V V V II	 ☑ Basis of the opinion ☑ Priority ☑ Non-establishment ☑ Lack of unity of inversity ☒ Reasoned statement ☐ Certain documents ☐ Certain defects in the ☐ Certain observation 	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention in under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novenations supporting such statement cited the international application is on the international application	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
 V 	 □ Basis of the opinion □ Priority □ Non-establishment □ Lack of unity of inversions □ Reasoned statement □ Certain documents □ Certain defects in the 	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nover nations supporting such statement cited ne international application	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
 V 	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention in under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novenations supporting such statement cited the international application is on the international application	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
IIIIIVVVVIIIVIIIIDate of sub	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation Certain observation Certain defects in the certain observation	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention nt under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nover nations supporting such statement cited he international application as on the international application Date of completic 30.06.2004	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
IIIIIVVVVIIIVIIIIDate of sub	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemer citations and explar Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation Certain observation Certain observation	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive ention Intunder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to nove nations supporting such statement cited The international application The international application Date of complete 30.06.2004 Itional Authorized Office Delucchi, C	elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CH 02/00148

l.	Bas	is (of t	the	rei	port
----	-----	------	------	-----	-----	------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	scription, Pages					
	1-8,	10-12	as originally filed				
	9		received on 15.05.2004 with letter of 13.05.2004				
	01-	in Northan					
	Cia	ims, Numbers					
	1-12	2	received on 15.05.2004 with letter of 13.05.2004				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/2-	2/2	as originally filed				
2.	With	n regard to the langu guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.			eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
•		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/CH 02/00148

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made	le, since they h	iave
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).		

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-12

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-12

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Concerning Item I Basis of the opinion

- 1. This preliminary examination report is based on **claims 1-12** filed with letter of 13.05.2004 which have been found to fulfil the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT.
- 2. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 933 955 (TOKYO SHIBAURA ELECTRIC CO) 4 August 1999

D2: US 2001/019954 A1 (SEOL MYOUNG-KI ET AL) 6 September 2001

Concerning Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Having regard to the documents cited in the International Search Report the subject-matter of claims 1-12 appears to meet the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT in respect of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability.
- 1.1 The closest prior art is found to be document **D1**, which discloses a mobile radio network based on CDMA. The base stations are combined in groups of base stations, where each group of base stations is allocated a plurality of transmissions frequencies, each transmission frequency including a plurality of CDMA transmission channels. In order to average the occupancies of these frequency channels, the channel occupancy of a plurality of frequency channels is monitored using corresponding monitoring means. That is, it is determined how many user connections are established sharing the same transmission frequency.

The network of **D1**, however, does not have the possibility to determine whether a user actually transmits data in an established user connection or not, since the *channel occupancy* of **D1** merely shows how many users occupy a certain channel.

Therefore, departing from **D1**, the problem to be solved by the claimed invention could be formulated as *how to provide the monitoring of an individual user to*

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

determine whether he exploits the whole transmission capacity that has been assigned to him before or not.

The invention according to claim 1 defines a method for allocating radio resources of a radio communication network to a plurality of users, where a user is allocated a certain transmission capacity, characterized in that a utilization factor relating to said transmission capacity is determined and the radio resources are allocated depending on said utilization factor, where determining said utilization factor includes determining how much of said transmission capacity is actually used by said user.

Since **D1** does not disclose the feature of an *utilization factor* being determined as defined above, the subject-matter of claim 1 is novel over the available prior art as required by Article 33(2) PCT.

The advantage of the solution proposed in the present application, is that upcoming allocations of resources can be decided on the basis of the actually usage of already assigned resources, i.e. on the behaviour of the network users, this involving a more efficient resource management and enhancement of data throughput in the network.

Neither **D1** nor the remaining prior art discloses or suggests the determination of an utilization factor that includes determining how much of said transmission capacity allocated to the user is actually used by said user.

Moreover, the method as defined in **claim 1** is considered to define, for a person skilled in the art, an inventive solution to the above formulated problem, since the described novel method steps are non-obvious when departing from the available prior art and common knowledge in this technical field.

As a consequence, the subject-matter of claim 1 is considered inventive as required by Article 33(3) PCT.

1.2 The same reasoning as for claim 1 also applies to independent claims 8 and 12, which respectively defines a radio communication network and a device for carrying out the method according to claim 1.

As a consequence, the subject-matter of both **claims 8 and 12** is also considered **novel and inventive** as required by Article 33(2),(3) PCT.

- 1.3 Claims 2-7 and 9-11 are dependent on claims 1 and 8 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step (Article 33(2),(3) PCT).
- 1.4 The invention as defined by **claims 1-12** is obviously industrially applicable (Article 33(4) PCT).
- 2. Notwithstanding the positive opinion on the **novelty**, **inventive step and industrial applicability** of the present **claims 1-12**, the application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because **claims 1, 8 and 12** are not clear.

It is clear from the description, that the main idea of the invention is to monitor whether an individual user actually exploits the whole transmission capacity that has been assigned to him *before* and to amend the allocation practice for *upcoming* allocations depending on the determined exploitation rate in order to enhance the exploitation of the transmission capacity of a mobile radio network. If for example a user requests and us assigned a transmission capacity of 100 kB/s an it is found that the user actually users only 50 kB/s to transmit his data, the utilization factor is for example determined to be 50%. The next time this user requests a transmission capacity of 100 kB/s he will be assigned for example a transmission capacity of only 70%.

It is considered, however, that the wording of **claims 1**, 8 and 12 does not clearly reflect the fact that the *utilization factor*, that includes *determining how much of* the <u>already at the moment allocated</u> *transmission capacity* to a certain user *is actually used by said user*, is then used by the method to decide about a *certain transmission capacity* for an *upcoming allocation* to the said user.

3. Furthermore, the applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, according to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the **relevant background art** disclosed in the cited prior art documents should have been mentioned in the description.